

Task 1: Candidate presentation			Rationale
1	2.1	3	Although Guilherme communicates reasonably well with sustained stress and intonation patterns, some pronunciation is unclear, eg. dessert / desert, and some place names are unclear.
2	2.2	3	He demonstrates persuasive techniques reasonably well and recognises and responds to the examiner's feelings and attitudes. However, there is not a wide range of lexis and grammar of the level.
3	3.1	3	Guilherme presents ideas reasonably well and in a logical sequence, narrating some events from his own experience in the past, although the structure could have been made clearer.
4	3.2	3	He provides a relevant verbal account without real signs of difficulty and integrates descriptions and comparisons into his account, but he does not use a wide range of the functions of the level.
5	3.3	4	Guilherme gives sustained answers to questions and develops them.
Task 2: Examiner process			Rationale
6	1.1	4	Guilherme clearly understands the whole conversation.
7	1.2	4	He makes relevant comments, asks appropriate questions and makes appropriate non-verbal gestures to show interest.
8	2.1	4	He conveys meaning and nuances of meaning with ease and confidence
9	4.1	4	Guilherme contributes constructively and with ease, expressing his opinions whilst encouraging the examiner to develop her description
10	4.3	4	He obtains relevant information about the process, asking appropriate questions with ease and confidence

Task 3: Roleplay			Rationale
11	1.2	4	Guilherme obtains relevant information and follows the whole conversation.
12	2.2	4	He successfully takes part in a more formal interaction using language appropriate to register and demonstrates a range of appropriate lexical phrases.
13	3.1	4	He presents information in a logical sequence, elaborating on the main points and got his message across with ease and confidence.
14	4.2	4	He expresses his views constructively throughout the interaction.
15	4.3	4	He responds to the examiner constructively and moves the discussion forward successfully.
16	4.4	4	He phrases requests and questions appropriately for the situation using introductory phrases and softeners.
Task 4: Group discussion			Rationale
17	1.1	4	Guilherme follows the conversation with ease.
18	3.2	3	He communicates reasonably well, but although he gets his message across, his responses occasionally lack structure and are inappropriately long.
19	3.3	3	He conveys relevant detail reasonably well.
20	4.1	4	He participates fully in the discussion, expressing agreement and disagreement constructively and clearly.
21	4.2	4	He supports his opinions with evidence and expresses his views and opinions with ease and confidence.
22	4.3	4	He makes suggestions, responds to critical opinion and contributes to moving the discussion forward.
Whole exam			Rationale
23	1.3	4	Guilherme follows all of the examiner's instructions with ease, both in task 2 and in the conduct of the examination.

Guilherme achieves 86/92, easily securing a pass at Level 2.