

Task 1: Candidate's description			Rationale
1	2.1	3	Kevin's pronunciation is generally clear although he has some problems with individual sounds and word stress.
2	2.2	2	Kevin's fulfils the criteria at a minimum level but lacks range and accuracy.
3	3.1	4	His use of sequencing language is good and the process is easily understood.
4	3.2	3	He communicates reasonably well without support but his long list of ingredients prevents him from displaying a wide range of functions at the level.
5	3.3	4	Kevin is able to convey details with ease and confidence.
Task 2: Examiner's past event			Rationale
6	1.1	3	Kevin demonstrates by comments and body language that he understands most of the conversation.
7	1.2	2	He obtains relevant detail at a minimum level.
8	2.1	3	Kevin uses pronunciation reasonably well but some words are unclear.
9	4.1	2	Kevin contributes at a minimum level and his responses are limited.
10	4.2	1	His attempts to meet the criterion are incomplete for the level so he does not successfully express his views.
11	4.4	2	Kevin obtains relevant information by questioning, but at a minimum level.
Task 3: Roleplay			Rationale
12	1.2	3	Kevin gets the gist of the conversation although he needs some support.
13	2.2	1	Kevin's range of language is inappropriate for the level and is incomplete.
14	3.3	2	He needs support but achieves the criterion at a minimum level.
15	4.3	2	Kevin makes suggestions but needs support, and there is no evidence of negotiation.
16	4.4	2	Kevin meets the criterion as he does ask a few questions, but he does need support.

Level 1 Kevin Group discussion

Task 4: Group discussion			Rationale
17	1.1	4	His non-verbal communication indicates that he follows the conversation with ease.
18	3.1	2	Kevin meets the criterion at a minimum level with support.
19	3.2	2	He gets the most important aspects of his message across with support.
20	4.1	3	His participation is reasonable. Note that the question directed to him was to give further opportunity to meet this criterion.
21	4.2	2	He expresses views and gives some justifications although at a minimum level.
22	4.3	2	Action is not really planned or negotiated but many suggestions are made and he contrasts ideas, meeting this criterion at a minimum level.
Whole exam			Rationale
23	1.3	4	Kevin followed all of the examiner's instructions with relative ease.

Total marks for Kevin: 58. This does not quite meet the 60/92 required to pass, although he achieves at least 2 for each assessment criterion at least once. With more practice with task 2 (the examiner's picture) and task 3 (the role play), Kevin will reach the required standard, as he will need less support from the examiner.